## Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

## Fifth Appellate District

## **COURTROOM PROCEEDINGS**

The court met in its courtroom at 10:00 A.M. Present: Honorable William A. Stone, Acting Presiding Justice; Honorable James F. Thaxter, Associate Justice; Honorable Thomas A. Harris, Associate Justice; and Eve Sproule, Court Administrator/Clerk, by Elaine J. Duffy, Deputy Clerk.

## F028906 People v. Vaughn

Cause called and argued by Charles Bonneau, Esq., counsel for appellant. Julie Erdmann, Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent waived oral argument.

Cause ordered submitted.

The court reconvened in its courtroom at 1:30 P.M. Present: Honorable William A. Stone, Acting Presiding Justice; Honorable Nickolas J. Dibiaso, Associate Justice; Honorable James F. Thaxter, Associate Justice; and Eve Sproule, Court Administrator/Clerk, by Elaine J. Duffy, Deputy Clerk.

#### F028856 People v. Johnson

Cause called and argued by Tamara P. Holland, Esq., counsel for appellant and by Christine Levin, Deputy Attorney General, counsel for respondent.

Cause ordered submitted.

Court recessed until Friday, July 9, 1999 at 1:30 P.M.

#### F033244 Richard H., v. Superior Court, Kern Co.; Dept. Human Services

Pursuant to the terms of this court's order of June 29, 1999, and the failure of the parties to notify this court that they want oral argument, oral argument is deemed waived.

The oral argument date of July 15, 1999, is vacated.

This action is deemed submitted on the date of this order.

# Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

## Fifth Appellate District

# F033270 Ursula R. v. Superior Court, Fresno County; Fresno County Department Of Children And Family Services

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision

# F033321 Eugene B. v. Superior Court, Stanislaus County; Stanislause Co. Dept. of Social Services

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

# F033265 Jody S. v. Superior Court, Stanislaus County; Stanislaus Co. Dept. Social Services.

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

### F031503 People v. Petz

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

#### F027148 People v. Santos, Jr., et al.

The judgments of conviction are affirmed. The matter is remanded to the trial court for correction of the restitution order consistent with this opinion. Levy, J.

We concur: Stone (W.A.), Acting P.J.; Wiseman, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

### F031437 People v. Cota

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

# Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

## Fifth Appellate District

## F031927 People v. Gonzales

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

## F031578 People v. Bunderson

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

### F032943 In re Julio O., a Minor

Appellant having filed an abandonment and/or request for dismissal of appeal, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.

Pursuant to rule 38, California Rules of Court, it is further ordered that the remittitur issue forthwith.